

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/665,988	09/19/2003	Ramakrishnan Venkata Subramanian	14580-030001	5381
20985 7590 07/02/2008 FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAM	UNER
P.O. BOX 1022			CHO, HONG SOL	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAILDATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/665,988 SUBRAMANIAN, RAMAKRISHNAN VENKATA Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner HONG CHO 2619 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 April 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

F

Applic	ant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Repla	cement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11) <u></u> The o	ath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∐ All	b)
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.□	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See th	e attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s) Molitice of References Cited (PTO-882)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other
S. Patent and Trademark Office	

Application/Control Number: 10/665,988

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

 This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 04/25/2008. Claims 4 and 5 have been cancelled. Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang
 et al (US 5289470), hereinafter referred to as Chang, in view of Bremer et al (US
 6032190), hereinafter referred to as Bremer, and further in view of Cedros et al (US
 5721955), hereinafter referred to as Cedros.

Re claim 1, Chang discloses a network switch with a plurality of network interfaces associated with buffers (a data switch having a plurality of ports being associated with one or more queues for data packets, column 3, lines 63-64), a network connectivity for connecting network interfaces to a plurality of networks based on a

Application/Control Number: 10/665,988

Art Unit: 2619

packet type such as FDDI, Ethernet and Token Ring (a switching fabric for transferring data packets received at one of the ports to another of the ports specified by a header of the data packet, column 3, lines 65-67), and a memory partitioned into sets of buffers (a memory divided into packet buffers, column 4, lines 39-41). Chang discloses comparing the size of the packet to be stored with the buffer size (a control unit for determining whether a data packet to be stored in one of the queues meets a criterion for efficient storage in the packet buffers, column 5, lines 40-45) and storing exceeded portions of data into respective further buffers if the packet size is greater than the size of a given buffer (dividing the data packet into a first portion which is stored in the packet buffers. column 5, lines 47-51). Chang discloses storing data packet in buffers and transferring further portions of the data packet to respective further buffers if the length of the data packet is greater than the size of the packet buffers (if the determination is negative, transmitting the second portion of the data packet without it having been stored in the memory, column 5, lines 41-45), but fails to disclose storing the second portion into a plurality of registers. Bremer discloses dividing packet into two portions and storing a portion to registers (figure 4, element 150; column 7, lines 29-31). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Chang to implement the feature of storing a portion of data packet into registers providing quicker access, as suggested in Bremer(column 2, lines 4-7), for the benefit of improving buffer memory utilization. Chang fails to disclose in one of the queues meets a criterion for efficient storage in the packet buffers. Cedros discloses transferring a portion of data packet from data packet buffer if the size of data packet is

greater than threshold value (column 8, lines 62-66). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Chang with the teaching of Cedros for the benefit of efficient utilization of buffer.

Re claims 2 and 3, Chang discloses determining whether the packet size is greater than the buffer size, where the difference is the threshold value (the criterion for efficient storage is whether the length of the data packet is greater by more than a threshold than an integer multiple of the size of the packet buffers, column 5, lines 41-45). The portion corresponding to the threshold value is stored into a respective buffer (a memory storing the threshold value).

Re claim 6, Chang discloses a networking device with an Ethernet interface (an Ethernet switch, column 3, lines 64-66).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed on 04/25/2008 have been fully considered but are moot in view of new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm. Application/Control Number: 10/665,988 Page 5

Art Unit: 2619

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Hong Cho/

Hong Cho Patent Examiner 6/25/2008